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Abstract

Introduction: Central blood pressure (CBP) is considered a measure of prognostic value for cardiovascular risk. In turn, the aortic pulse wave velocity (PWVAo) and augmentation index (Aix) have been related to arterial stiffness and cardiovascular risk. Controversies exist regarding the reference values in different ethnic groups, ages, and anthropometrics. The objective of this study is to evaluate the CBP and arterial stiffness parameters in a Mexican population by age, gender, and anthropometry.

Methods: Between 2015 and 2016, 1009 apparently healthy subjects were recruited in the Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez. Using the Arteriograph (TensioMed) equipment with an oscillometric technique, CBP, central pulse pressure (cPP), PWVAo, and Aix were acquired. All results were automatically obtained by computer software version 3.0.0.4.

Results: Female sex was prevalent (72%), mean age was 47 ± 12 years; 26% had normal weight, 43% were overweight, and 30% had obesity. The reference values were higher than those reported in other populations. PWVAo and Aix were always found to be higher in females. A central-brachial pressure gradient was observed in < 40 years with lower CBP. Body mass index (BMI) presented a direct and positive correlation with CBP (p < 0.001); however, PWVAo and Aix were not modified.

Conclusion: CBP, cPP, PWVAo, and Aix parameters should be considered based on age, gender, and BMI. In Mexican population, CBP and cPP values were higher compared with other previously reported values, especially in women, the elderly, and obese. PWVAo and Aix are higher in older women; however, they are not modified by BMI.
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Resumen

Introducción: La presión central aórtica (PCA) se considera una medida del valor pronóstico. A su vez, la velocidad de la onda del pulso aórtico (VOPA) y el índice de aumento (IA) se han relacionado con la rigidez arterial y riesgo cardiovascular. Existen controversias sobre los valores de referencia en diferentes grupos. El objetivo de este estudio es evaluar estos parámetros en una población mexicana por edad, género y antropometría. Métodos: Entre 2015 y 2016 se reclutaron 1,009 sujetos aparentemente sanos en el Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez. Usando el equipo de Arteriograph (TensioMed) con...
Introduction

Central blood pressure (CBP) has been established as a strong predictor of cardiovascular risk. European guidelines for systemic arterial hypertension recognize CBP as a useful tool in the evaluation of treatment, risk stratification, and detection of target organ damage. Likewise, surrogate markers of CBP that translate vascular stiffness, aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV), and aortic augmentation index (Aix) have been associated with cardiovascular risk.

However, the measurement of CBP is far from its use in daily clinical practice due to multiple factors including the lack of reference values between individuals of different races, gender, age, and body mass index (BMI).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the CBP, central pulse pressure (cPP), PWV, and Aix in a population of healthy Mexican subjects, to identify reference values, and to know their behavior according to gender, age, and BMI.

Methods

Design and population

Between 2015 and 2016, an observational cross-sectional study was conducted. The recruitment was done through open invitation to the people who were accompanying patients of the outpatient care department of our institution by convenience. These subjects were asked to fill out a survey and undergo an electrocardiogram to rule out any cardiovascular disease. Those with a history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking, alcoholism, ischemic heart disease, peripheral vascular disease, and electrocardiographic data of hypertensive heart disease were excluded from the study. A clinical questionnaire was applied and anthropometric measurements of weight in kilograms and height in meters were made to calculate the BMI. Subjects were classified according to their BMI values: underweight (<18.5), normal (18.5-24.9), overweight (25-29.9), obesity Grade 1 (30-34.9), obesity Grade 2 (35-39.9), and obesity Grade 3 (≥40).

Measurement of CBP

An Arteriograph (TensioMed) device with oscillometric technique was used for its evaluation; the CBP measurements of the subjects were carried out between 9:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m., on a single occasion, all records were obtained in a quiet environment, after resting in supine position for 5 min and later analyzed with software (version 3.0.0.4.), which reported CBP, cPP, systolic brachial pressure (SBP), brachial pulse pressure (bPP), PWV, and Aix.

Statistical analysis

The numerical variables are summarized as mean and standard deviation or median and quartiles, according to their distribution. The categorical variables are summarized in frequency and percentage. We performed bivaried analysis of two independent groups with t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test for numerical variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables. When there are more than two groups to be compared, the ANOVA, Kruskal–Wallis, and Chi-square linear trend tests were used, depending on the case. Bivaried correlations were performed (Pearson or Spearman, according to distribution). Multivariate analysis was performed with logistic regression to predict CBP > 140 mmHg, PWV > 9 m/s, and Aix > 33% using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22.0, a two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

We included 1009 participants, 72% were female, average age of 47 ± 12 years (minimum of 15, maximum of 89 years), 7% with active smoking, 26% with...
normal weight (BMI in the whole population: 28 ± 4.6 kg/m²), 43% overweight, 22% obesity Grade 1, 6% obesity Grade 2, and 2% obesity Grade 3.

**Analysis by sex**

Table 1 shows the results divided by sex. There were no differences in age, BMI, or brachial systolic blood pressure. However, women had higher levels of CBP and cPP, with higher proportions with CBP above the expected limit, without statistical significance (> 140 mmHg, 29% vs. 23%, p = 0.056). At the same time, women had significantly higher values of PWV Ao and Aix. Similarly, the calculated arterial age was higher for women, despite similar chronological ages. When taking the CBP cutoff points ≥ 140 mmHg and cPP ≥ 50 mmHg for the diagnosis of high blood pressure, a higher proportion of new diagnoses was observed in women, without observing important changes in men or when using brachial measurements (Fig. 1).

**Analysis by age**

Table 2 shows the measurements obtained by age group. We observed a moderate direct association between age and SBP (rho = 0.34, p < 0.001), which improves for CBP (r = 0.47, p < 0.0001) and cPP (r = 0.49, p < 0.0001). Two other phenomena were observed: the first, in subjects younger than 40 years, a significant gradient between SBP and CBP is observed, after this age, the pressures are similar (Fig. 2). Second, women under 40 years have lower values of CBP; however, when this age is exceeded, CBP exceeds men (Fig. 3).

Regarding the parameters of vascular rigidity, both have moderate direct association with age (r = 0.52, p < 0.001 for PWV Ao and r = 0.57, p < 0.001 for Aix). Regardless of age, women have higher Aix values.

**BMI analysis**

Table 3 shows the measurements by weight category. Weak direct associations were observed between BMI,
SBP (rho = 0.24, p < 0.001), and CBP (rho = 0.19, p < 0.001); however, the values of these last two increase to a greater degree of obesity in a significant way. When analyzing vascular rigidity parameters, no association with BMI is observed.

**Logistic regressions**

Table 4 shows logistic regressions. Independent predictors for CBP > 140 mmHg are age and BMI and for PWV Ao > 9 m/s and Aix > 33% are age and sex, without showing effect by the BMI on these parameters related to vascular stiffness.

**Discussion**

Central aortic pressure has been established as a reliable measure for the stratification of prognosis and cardiovascular risk. Its elevation has been correlated with the thickness of the intima-media of the carotids, increase and regression of left ventricular mass in hypertensive patients, and with a better ability to predict...
cardiovascular events compared to brachial blood pressure\(^2\). Similarly, the cPP \(\geq 50 \text{ mmHg}\) is associated with morbi-mortality\(^1\).

However, the measurement of CBP in daily clinical practice is far from being a reality, for a variety of reasons. Among them, the multitude of equipment available for measurement, the lack of normal reference values for particular populations (such as Hispanics) and of reference tables in patients according to age, sex and body weight\(^6\).

In addition, the evidence regarding CBP in relation to cardiovascular risk is not conclusive since it mostly comes from subanalysis, with sometimes contradictory results. Therefore, although it shows a promising role in the non-invasive stratification of risk, CBP has not succeeded in replacing brachial measurements in the diagnosis, follow-up, and prognosis of patients with systemic arterial hypertension.

The present study tries to solve, in part, the problem described above, delimiting the normal values of reference in healthy Hispanic population (which in itself presents a higher cardiovascular risk than other races) and with the use of equipment widely validated in the previous studies, with the objective of establishing a base in the area of research on central aortic pressure in Mexican population.

### CBP and gender

Regarding the relationship between CBP and sex, a statistically significant difference was observed between men and women, however, with a delta of only 3 mmHg. Studies with a large number of patients in China and Europe showed similar results\(^7,8\). It is noteworthy that, after 40 years of age, the CBP is equal and even, when over 60 years old, the gradient is reversed and becomes greater for women than men.

Table 3. Central blood pressure and arterial stiffness parameter by BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal (n = 264)</th>
<th>Overweight (n = 441)</th>
<th>Obesity Grade 1 (n = 228)</th>
<th>Obesity Grade 2 (n = 57)</th>
<th>Obesity Grade 3 (n = 19)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age by years</td>
<td>46 (33-57)</td>
<td>49 (41-57)</td>
<td>49 (40-57)</td>
<td>48 (42-55)</td>
<td>48 (43-56)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>124 (114-133)</td>
<td>125 (117-138)</td>
<td>130 (12-144)</td>
<td>143 (124-154)</td>
<td>143 (129-159)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bPP (mmHg)</td>
<td>51 (44-57)</td>
<td>51 (45-58)</td>
<td>54 (48-61)</td>
<td>60 (53-65)</td>
<td>60 (52-73)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>121 (109-136)</td>
<td>125 (112-141)</td>
<td>128 (115-145)</td>
<td>142 (124-155)</td>
<td>139 (132-162)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cPP (mmHg)</td>
<td>48 (41-60)</td>
<td>49 (42-60)</td>
<td>51 (43-63)</td>
<td>59 (51-69)</td>
<td>59 (50-68)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWV Ao (m/s)</td>
<td>7.7 (6.7-9.4)</td>
<td>7.8 (6.9-9.4)</td>
<td>7.9 (7.9-9.2)</td>
<td>8 (7.8-9.5)</td>
<td>8.2 (7.9-9.2)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aix (%)</td>
<td>33 ± 14</td>
<td>33 ± 13</td>
<td>32 ± 13</td>
<td>34 ± 12</td>
<td>35 ± 13</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial age</td>
<td>39 (24-60)</td>
<td>41 (29-60)</td>
<td>42 (30-60)</td>
<td>43 (33-60)</td>
<td>45 (37-60)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic brachial blood pressure; bPP: brachial pulse pressure; CBP: central blood pressure; cPP: central pulse pressure; PWV Ao: aortic pulse wave velocity; Aix: augmentation index. Variables are summarized as mean and standard deviation or median and quartiles 25 and 75, according to their distribution.

Table 4. Logistic regressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>OR (CI 95%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To predicts CBP &gt; 140 mmHg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age by years</td>
<td>1.08 (1.06, 1.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (K/m(^2))</td>
<td>1.09 (1.05, 1.13)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To predicts PWV Ao &gt; 9 m/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age by years</td>
<td>1.12 (1.1, 1.14)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (K/m(^2))</td>
<td>1 (0.9, 1.03)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.36 (0.24, 0.54)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To predicts Aix &gt; 33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age by years</td>
<td>1.11 (1.09, 1.13)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (K/m(^2))</td>
<td>0.97 (0.94, 1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.25 (0.18, 0.36)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; CBP: central blood pressure; PWV Ao: aortic pulse wave velocity; Aix: augmentation index.
be associated with a higher baseline cardiovascular risk in Hispanics, according to previously reported\textsuperscript{10}.

Regarding the cPP and Aix, women presented higher statistically significant values, however, directly influenced by the height, a finding already described previously\textsuperscript{11}. However, the PWVAo (which is not modified by the height) is elevated in women, probably in relation to greater vascular rigidity. Although our results cannot be conclusive in this regard, we believe that they support the hypothesis that women may present different risk and pathophysiology, so they may also need special considerations in treatment.

**CBP and age**

The increase in age leads to an increase in CBP and vascular rigidity parameters. This association has been reproduced by various publications\textsuperscript{7,8,12}, which is reflected in the higher prevalence of hypertension as the age advances\textsuperscript{13}.

Of relevance, a statistically significant gradient of central-brachial pressure was observed in patients under 40 years of age. After this age, the significance is lost and both pressures present similar measurements. Our results show CBP values of 109 (103-118) and 114 (107-128) mmHg in < 30 and 30-40 years, respectively, and a central-brachial gradient of 11 and 7 mmHg was observed and may consider normal.

The significance of the loss of this gradient or the presence of higher CBP values in this age group and its relationship with an increased risk of arterial hypertension remains to be clarified. Saladini et al.\textsuperscript{14} recruited 305 patients with an age of 37 ± 10 years and performed measurements of SBP and CBP, finding this central-brachial gradient; at follow-up, subjects with a lower gradient had a higher incidence of high blood pressure. Therefore, the measurement of CBP could have a potential impact on the early diagnosis of high blood pressure in subjects less than 40 years.

**CBP and BMI**

Regarding the BMI, it was found that the greater the degree of obesity, there is an increase in the CBP and the brachial measurements, data observed in previous publications\textsuperscript{15,16}. However, the parameters of vascular rigidity did not show differences between the degrees of obesity. Other authors have reported this finding\textsuperscript{15-17}, suggesting that different physiopathological mechanisms are present in the patient with obesity. Messerli et al.\textsuperscript{18} conducted a study to assess the hemodynamic differences associated with hypertension and obesity, reporting that the total blood volume is significantly increased in obese hypertensive patients and found no differences in peripheral resistance or plasma renin activity. The same author later published that obese subjects had lower values of circulating catecholamines when compared with subjects of normal weight\textsuperscript{19}. These data suggest that, at least partially, a cause of arterial hypertension in obese patients is the increase in blood volume and not an effect of vascular rigidity or catecholamines so that treatments should possibly be aimed at this objective.

**Conclusion**

We observe significant differences in CBP, cPP, PWVAo, and Aix based on age, gender, and BMI. In a Mexican population, higher values of CBP and cPP were found in female, the elderly, and obese, with a central-brachial gradient in younger than 40 years. PWVAo and Aix are high in women and the elderly; however, they are not modified by BMI.

**Limitations**

The main limitations of our study are the sample size, although, to our current knowledge, it could be the largest Hispanic population included in this issue. On the other hand, the lack of follow-up and hard outcomes makes it difficult to relate our findings to risk, so additional studies are needed to clarify the role of CBP and the parameters of vascular rigidity in the stratification and diagnosis of hypertension in Hispanics. Our study included a greater proportion of female sex because socially in our environment is this gender the natural companion of patients to their medical consultations; this could have an impact on the generalization of the results, mainly in the differences by gender.
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