Economic benefits of fractional flow reserve utilization on intermediate lesions and its clinical impact after 1-year follow-up
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Abstract

Background: Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is a proven technology for guiding percutaneous coronary intervention, but it is not reimbursed despite the fact that it frequently allows to defer revascularization. Our goal was to determine the economic benefit of FFR on intermediate lesions, as well as the clinical endpoints at 1-year follow-up. Methods: An observational prospective study that included consecutive patients with intermediate lesions evaluated with FFR between April 2013 and March 2016. For the economic analysis, we evaluated the specific resources used during the procedure. Clinical endpoints, including cardiovascular death, target lesion revascularization, and acute myocardial infarction, were followed up over a 1-year period. Results: FFR was performed on 222 lesions in 151 consecutive patients. FFR was positive in 26.1% of the assessed lesions. The estimated total cost using FFR was US$ 891,290.08 while cost estimate without FFR was US$ 1,557,352, meaning 43% in cost savings. There were one cardiovascular death and two readmissions during follow-up in the positive FFR group. Conclusion: FFR-guided revascularization on intermediate coronary lesions resulted in an economic benefit by reducing overall costs without harming clinical outcomes.


Resumen

Antecedentes: La reserva de flujo fraccional (FFR) es una herramienta con evidencia demostrada para guiar las angioplastias coronarias. El reembolso por los sistemas de cobertura de salud es parcial o nulo a pesar de frecuentemente diferir la angioplastia. Nuestro objetivo fue determinar el beneficio económico de la utilización del FFR en la evaluación de lesiones intermedias, y evaluar asimismo puntos finales clínicos en el seguimiento a un año. Métodos: Estudio observacional prospectivo que incluyó una cohorte de pacientes consecutivos con lesiones coronarias intermedias, evaluadas con FFR, entre abril de 2013 y marzo de 2016. Para el análisis económico se evaluaron los recursos específicos utilizados para la realización...
Introduction

Coronary heart disease continues to be the leading cause of death worldwide. Argentina is no exception: cardiovascular disease remains the leading cause of death, and it accounts for 32% of total cases. Myocardial revascularization is both the surgical and percutaneous treatment of choice, with a higher usage rate in Western countries. Only in the USA, more than 1 million percutaneous coronary interventions or revascularization procedures are performed annually.

In Argentina, according to a survey conducted in 2011 by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Health Division, 942 percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasties (CTA) are performed annually per million population, which is equivalent to approximately 38,000 annual angioplasties, a figure that, though far from numbers recorded in developed countries, is increasing.

Revascularization by means of CTA or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) plays an important role in the treatment of these patients, but both can have a paradoxical effect. In the presence of myocardial ischemia, revascularization reduces symptoms and improves the prognosis, but in its absence, revascularization worsens the results.

Cinecoronarography (CAG) is the gold standard for the diagnosis of coronary disease in epicardial coronary vessels, although the exact quantification of a lesion may be limited for different reasons. One of the main limitations is the difficulty to determine the actual diameter of the reference vessel when compared with the lesion under study. Should it be with disease, as in patients presenting with diffuse coronary disease, the degree of stenosis caused by the lesion under study could be underestimated. In addition, the presence of contrast artifacts due to turbulence, shortening of some segments in different projections, calcifications, and ostial localization of lesions or bifurcations can contribute to assessment inaccuracy. In addition, there is considerable interobserver variability at the time of evaluation.

In Argentina, as in most places, the decision to revascularize is mainly made based on coronary lesions angiographic appearance, often not knowing if they are really causing myocardial ischemia: only 30% of patients undergoing angiographic assessment have a stress test with evidence of myocardial ischemia justifying their symptoms. Even when said tests are available, they have various flaws. Graded exercise stress test (most commonly used test) has inadequate accuracy in various patients; stress echocardiogram and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) (tests with higher accuracy for ischemia identification) have limited capacity to define the localization of ischemia, in addition to being expensive and limited in terms of availability. As a consequence, many coronary lesions that are not hemodynamically significant are unnecessarily subjected to CTA or CABG, with a considerable cost to the health system and to the detriment of the patient.

Fractional flow reserve (FFR) measurement is known to allow, in a simple, fast, and reproducible way, identification of functionally significant coronary lesions, with special benefit in intermediate coronary lesions (50-69% stenosis). The previous studies showed that only 35% of these lesions have an FFR indicating the presence of ischemia.

There is abundant evidence from randomized trials to demonstrate the clinical and economic benefit of the use of FFR in comparison with revascularization guided by angiography alone.

DEFER trial showed that there is no benefit in angioplasty of hemodynamically non-significant lesions determined by FFR measurement. The FAME trial showed significant benefits in terms of morbidity and mortality in FFR-guided coronary lesions revascularization.

FFR-guided revascularization strategy also demonstrated a cost reduction, which could mean a potential economic benefit for the health system.
FFR measurement systems have been available in Argentina for almost 10 years; however, it is an underutilized method in daily practice. The coverage of this procedure by social and prepaid health services is limited; thus, despite its potential savings in economic terms, current reality discourages its use.

Considering the increasing number and complexity of coronary interventions in Argentina, the potential economic savings through FFR implementation to guide revascularization in intermediate coronary lesions, in comparison with angiographic evaluation, might be relevant for the health system.

The purpose of this study was to determine the economic benefit of the use of FFR in the assessment of intermediate lesions and its clinical impact over a 1-year follow-up.

#### Materials and methods

A prospective observational study was conducted, which included a cohort of consecutive patients who showed intermediate coronary lesions in angiography that was assessed with FFR between April 2013 and March 2016. An intermediate or moderate coronary lesion was defined as luminal stenosis between 50 and 69% as assessed by angiography. A value of < 0.80 was taken as positive FFR, after obtaining maximum hyperemia with intracoronary adenosine infusion. Clinical characteristics of the study population and clinical and economic endpoints were analyzed during hospitalization and over a 1-year follow-up.

For the economic analysis, the specific resources used to perform the procedure were evaluated. PTCA costs were calculated taking into account all the resources used, both diagnostic and therapeutic, considering the value in the Argentinian market in the US dollars (USD), to avoid adjustments for oscillations in local currency during the analyzed period. The CAGB cost was considered according to the value of a previously established module that includes all the necessary resources, including the stay in the coronary care unit for 5 days.

Statistics of the department was reviewed for the evaluated period, and a utilization rate of 1.78 stents per patient was defined (0.51 conventional and 1.27 drug-eluting stents [DES]) and a mean of 1.1 days of stay in the coronary care unit per patient undergoing coronary angioplasty.

In addition, the endpoints were analyzed, which included cardiovascular death, revascularization of the target artery, and acute myocardial infarction, during the hospital stay and throughout the 1-year follow-up.

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were reported as frequencies, while continuous variables were described by their mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range.

#### Results

A total of 222 intermediate lesions were analyzed in the first 151 consecutive patients who underwent FFR in the period comprised between April 2013 and March 2016. The study population characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Of the included patients, only 42% had a positive stress test result before the angiographic study, with SPECT being the most requested study (73.4%). Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome was the condition that more frequently motivated the performance of CAG (40%) (Fig. 1). About 67.3% of the studies were carried out in inpatients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Population characteristics (n = 151)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracoronary vascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cardiac surgery other than CABG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous oral anticoagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress test positive result</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among the assessed lesions, 26.1% yielded a value lower than 0.80 (58 lesions in 33 patients), with the anterior descending artery being the most commonly evaluated (49.74%) (Table 2).

Of the 151 analyzed patients, 53 received percutaneous treatment, 12 surgical, and 86 medical treatment. Should FFR had not been used in the assessment of intermediate lesions, 126 patients would have undergone angioplasty and 25 CABG (Fig. 2). FFR measurement in intermediate lesions generated a saving of 141 stents (100.61 DES and 40.39 bare-metal stent, taking into account, the rate of stent use in the department) and 98.8 days of stay in the coronary care unit. In economic terms, should the measurement of this functional index not have been used, total cost would have been USD 1,557,352. With the FFR measurement, total amount was USD 891,290.08, which represented a saving of USD 666,061.92, or, expressed in relative terms, 42.77% (Fig. 3).

As for clinical events, there was a single cardiovascular death during the hospital stay in the FFR-positive group (patient with left ventricular thrombus, deceased from stroke waiting for CABG). Three patients had to be readmitted for unstable angina during follow-up, two of them in the group that received angioplasty and one in the medical treatment group. Only one required a new angioplasty for restenosis of the stent implanted in the index event. Sixteen patients were lost to follow-up after the performance of the coronary angiography.

Among the analyzed patients, only two had serious complications related to the procedure (puncture site bleeding with transfusion requirement and coronary dissection during angioplasty, which required emergency CABG).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study show an economic saving in FFR-guided revascularization in comparison with standard angiography at the expense of a reduction in both percutaneous and surgical revascularization rates. This did not translate into clinical harm during hospitalization and during the 1-year follow-up. Although there was one death recorded in one of the groups, it was not caused by the diagnostic or therapeutic procedure, it was associated with chance.

As previously mentioned, angiography, despite being the reference standard in the assessment of intermediate lesions, is a poor predictor of ischemia-generating lesions. In the present study, an FFR value > 0.80 was observed in 73.9% of studied lesions, which is consistent with findings reported in the literature. In the FAME trial, 65% of intermediate lesions based on visual estimate showed an FFR > 0.80 and 20% of stenosis, between 70 and 90%, were not responsible for an FFR < 0.80. Frequently, the angiographic appearance of lesions that cause ischemia is identical to those that do not, and this is more marked in moderate lesions. Demonstrating the presence of ischemia is crucial since it represents the most important predictor of adverse events in long-term follow-up. Furthermore, angioplasty on non-ischemia-producing lesions has been documented not to be beneficial, and it can even be deleterious in terms of periprocedural complications and long-term follow-up, with a consequent increase in costs. The use of FFR in this context optimizes clinical outcomes, with a decrease in both procedures – inherent risks and costs.

Despite the robust evidence about the benefits of FFR-guided angioplasty, this strategy is used in Argentina in a relatively low percentage, with one of the main reasons being its cost. Resources for the measurement...
of FFR are partially or not covered by the health system, which adds a non-recoverable cost to the budget of the various interventional cardiology centers. In contrast, health systems incur additional expenditure in terms of resources for revascularization and hospital stay that could be avoided.

Our economic analysis has limitations that we should mention. It represents a single-center study, the number of patients included in the study is relatively low and, not being randomized, the procedures and costs of the group without FFR were obtained from a calculation based on the rates of the usage of procedures and devices.
The total number of both patients and intermediate lesions that are evaluated without prior evidence of ischemia in Argentina is unknown. For this reason, it is difficult to estimate the total potential economic impact of FFR generalized implementation.

Our analysis represents the economic evaluation during the hospital stay. According to some reports, this would be equivalent to approximately 90% of total cost per patient\textsuperscript{20}. Some authors demonstrated, in a 1-year follow-up, a saving that can be as high as 30% between both strategies total costs\textsuperscript{20}. This means that there is a potential additional saving that was not assessed in the present work.

Conclusion

Routine implementation of an FFR-guided strategy in intermediate lesions generated an important change of behavior in terms of treatment, and in a group of patients that were scheduled for revascularization, it determined medical treatment ultimate implementation in a large part of them. This change of therapeutic behavior entailed a clear economic benefit without generating clinical harm for patients over a 1-year follow-up.
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