Traumatic rupture of the aortic isthmus: Delayed treatment and open repair – A case report

Ruptura traumática del istmo aórtico: retraso en el tratamiento y reparación abierta – Reporte de un caso

Elizabeth Aguilar-Alaníz1*, Rodrigo Reyes-Pavón2, José A. Ruiz-Romero1, and Javier Esparza-Pantoja3

1Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Centenario Hospital Miguel Hidalgo; 2Center of Health Sciences, Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes, Aguascalientes, Mexico

Post-traumatic rupture of the aorta is considered a potentially lethal injury since approximately 70-80% of victims die at the scene, and of the survivors, 30% will die in the ensuing 24 h. The most common causes include high-speed traffic accidents, explosions, falls from considerable heights, and severe contusions1.

A classification of aortic injuries has been proposed, where type I refers to intimal tear, type II to intramural hematoma, type III to pseudoaneurysm, and type IV to rupture with active extravasation2.

According to the German Trauma Registry1, a prevalence of 1.28% is calculated, while the incidence of hospitalization for aortic injuries ranges from 2.5 to 4.5/1,000,000 person-years, with type I lesions being more common (approximately 51.6%), according to the Canadian National Trauma Registry3; in addition, most commonly associated injuries are orthopedic fractures (68%) followed by chest injuries (50.5%) and abdominal injuries (41.3%), specifically hepatic and splenic injuries4.

The case of an aortic blunt trauma is presented, which initially was conservatively managed, with subsequent final open repair at 24 h.

Clinical case

A 29-year-old male patient, who was ran over by a high-speed motor vehicle. On admission to the emergency room, mild traumatic brain trauma was found (12 points on the Glasgow Coma Scale), the right distal open diaphyseal tibiofibular fracture and closed-chest trauma. His vital signs were blood pressure 130/90, respiratory rate 22, and heart rate 87. His laboratory tests showed hemoglobin at 17 g/dL, hematocrit 53.1%, platelets at 288,000 cells/mm3, and 1.02 mg/dL creatinine.

Chest X-ray showed mediastinal widening, tracheal right deviation, and widened aortic knob.

Thoracoabdominal computed tomography (CT) (Fig. 1) revealed right pneumothorax, left pleural effusion, and, as aortic findings, intimal tears, proximal, and distal to the emergence of the left subclavian artery, which delimited the image of a pseudoaneurysm, as well as a periaortic hematoma. In addition, Grade II right renal contusion, Grade III left renal contusion, and right retroperitoneal hematoma were also observed.
Definitive surgical treatment consisted of left postero-lateral thoracotomy, with left bypass (from left atrium to left femoral artery), with a type III total section being found at the level of the arterial ligament, contained by the parietal pleura; thus, proximal and distal aortic clamping was performed, the affected segment was sectioned and a 22 mm wide and 10 cm long woven Dacron graft was interposed, with a bleeding volume of 1000 mL and aortic clamping duration of 132 min, after which the patient underwent cardiac arrest that required direct cardiac massage for 33 min, with the patient subsequently recovering vital signs and the left bypass being removed. Admission to the intensive care unit was decided, where he had a torpid immediate evolution since he developed acute respiratory failure syndrome and rhabdomyolysis (with creatine phosphokinase elevation up to 10,934). He showed improvement of both conditions 4 days later, and extubation and discharge to the recovery area were possible, where definitive treatment of the fracture through open reduction and internal fixation was carried out.

A control CT (Fig. 2) showed an interposed graft in the aortic isthmus, and periaortic hematoma resorption, without aortic dilation proximal or distal to the graft.

At 4 days, the patient evolved with a left loculated pleural effusion and respiratory mechanics restriction, and management was decided by thoracoscopy with placement of an endopleural tube, with 300 mL of serous fluid being drained. The tube was removed 3 days later, and home discharge was decided. In the follow-up visit 8 months after surgery, the patient was asymptomatic and with complete recovery.

**Discussion**

The imaging study that is routinely used in our medical center (the only one in the state with a cardiothoracic surgery department) is chest contrasted CT scan, which currently is considered the method of choice for blunt trauma injuries screening and diagnosis.4,5

The optimal timing to intervene aortic injuries and the decision to address them before or after associated injuries has been the subject of debate for a long time. Taking into account that the risk of traumatic aortic injury rupture is higher within the first 24 h after the accident, immediate attention was regarded as the standard of care for decades. However, after the publication of the article by Fabian et al.6, in 1998, the use of antihypertensive therapeutic approaches became widespread. The success of these approaches to prevent aortic rupture has resulted in the practice of delaying repairs of trauma-related aortic injuries both in high- and low-risk patients. In 2014, the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma7 issued an update of its guidelines for the diagnosis and management of aortic damage due to closed chest trauma. Seven studies were included in their analysis, and deferred repair was found to be associated with lower mortality (relative risk [RR]: 2.07; 95% confidence intervals [CI]: 1.03-4.15) and with significantly reduced paraplegia rates (RR: 5.90; 95% CI: 1.51-22.97). Although there is no cutoff point regarding the time elapsed between patient hospital admission and repair surgery that delimits the concepts of “immediate” and “late” (Whal et al.8 considered late repair when more than 12 h have elapsed, Hemmila et al.9 spoken about late repair when more than 16 h have elapsed), current trend is to use controlled hypotensive therapy to plan...
repair surgery and avoid an immediate procedure, even in patients where there are no associated injuries that jeopardize life. In our medical center, late management has been chosen whenever possible (never within the first 6 h of patient hospital admission).

In 2008, a report comparing clinical practice and results between a multicenter prospective study, completed in 1997 (AAST1), and another similar completed in 2007 (AAST2), was published. Comparing both studies, three main changes were observed: first, that the time elapsed since patient admission until definitive repair significantly increased from AAST1 to AAST2 (16.5 ± 70.8 h vs. 54.6 ± 106.6 h; p < 0.001); second, the rate of paraplegia as a complication related to the procedure was reduced, and third, the definitive repair method went from being exclusively with open techniques in 1997 to predominantly endovascular procedures in 2007 since almost 65% of the patients were managed with this technique. The latter study showed a significant decrease in early mortality with the endovascular technique in comparison with open repair in patients with or without major injuries associated. On the other hand, the incidence of serious complications related to the endovascular procedure was 20%. Long-term follow-up is still not sufficient to describe the failures or complications that might develop with this approach. Demetriades et al. referred to stent leakage as the main complication, especially in young patients. However, device innovations are under development, and in a low-volume hospital like ours, the development of this ability is perhaps more difficult.

Conclusion

The use of antihypertensive drugs is mandatory in all patients with suspected traumatic aortic injury since they reduce the risk of rupture and allow stabilization and evaluation of other injuries. The use of distal aortic perfusion by means of bypass or atrium-left femoral artery temporary bypass decreases the risk of paraplegia in those patients in whom open repair is decided.
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